The Administrative Training Workgroup examined different models of supervision. The recommendation was that districts should be allowed to choose their own model of supervision as long as the model aligns with research-based practice.

## **Guideline for Choosing a Supervision Model**

## Rationale:

Effective supervision is intended to develop professionally responsible teachers who are capable of analyzing and continuously improving their own practice.

### Process:

Phase I:

Collaboratively plan with the teacher. The teacher and supervisor collaboratively identify an area of professional growth for the school year. The teacher considers the following questions:

- What pedagogical knowledge/skills do I want to develop?
- How will I develop those skills? What technical assistance opportunities do I need? (peer coaching, mentoring, professional literature, study group sessions with peers...)
- What specific professional development goals and objectives do I want to achieve?
- How do those goals and objectives fit in with my school's district's EPSS?
- How will this professional growth improve my curriculum and instructional delivery?
- How will this professional development improve student performance? What indicators of student success will I look for?

Phase II:

Plan the structure of the classroom observation(s). The teacher and supervisor collaboratively plan the classroom observation(s). The teacher considers the following question:

 What do I want the principal to watch for, specifically, which would correlate directly to the pedagogical knowledge/skills I choose to develop?

Phase III:

Observe and script the classroom instruction. The supervisor scripts the lesson observed. This transcription includes the teacher's interactions with students, student interaction with the teacher, and student-to-student interaction.

Phase IV:

Supervisor and teacher post conference. The supervisor and teacher meet to review the scripted classroom observation. The purpose of the review is to engage in reflection and self-examination of teacher practice. Questions to guide the conversation include:

- What professional development activities did the teacher pursue to develop identified pedagogical knowledge/skills?
- What did the teacher learn about his/her instructional practice?
- What indicators does the teacher have to verify what he/she learned?
- What evidence is there of improved pedagogical knowledge/skills within the context of the observed lesson(s)?
- What evidence is there of student engagement and student learning related to the knowledge/skills the teacher chose to develop.

## New Mexico Teacher Performance Summative Evaluation for Licensure

Level I Teachers – to be completed annually Level II & Level III Teachers – to be completed every 3 years

	f Teacher	Date		
	ssignment f Principal and/or Su	pervisor School Campus Level of License   I	[]]	
Rating i	dentification: 1.	Does not meet competency for licensure level 2. Meets competency for licensure level	evel	
Compete Number 1, 2. 5.	The teacher accurate the teacher approximately The teacher effects	STRAND A. INSTRUCTION:  arately demonstrates knowledge of the content area and approved curriculum copriately utilizes a variety of teaching methods and resources for each area taught.  ctively utilizes student assessment techniques and procedures.  on Evidence, including student achievement and learning growth:	1 1 1	2 2 2
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	and understandir The teacher com appropriately. The teacher man healthy environm The teacher reco involvement and	prehends the principles of student growth, development and learning, and applies them ages the educational setting in a manner that promotes positive student behavior, and a safe and nent.  gnizes student diversity and creates an atmosphere conducive to the promotion of student	1 1 1 1	2 2 2
8. 9.	The teacher work	STRAND C. PROFESSIONAL LEARNING: onstrates a willingness to examine and implement change, as appropriate. as productively with colleagues, parents, and community members. ional Learning Evidence:	1	2
Yes Yes –	No No	Professional Development Plan completed  Teacher meets highly qualified requirements for teaching assignment		
	cipal / Supervisor	Teacher		
Conies to	<ul> <li>Staff Member Pers</li> </ul>	sonnel File. Supervisor		

# **Progressive Documentation of Teacher Performance** Teacher \_\_\_\_\_SSN/Employee ID \_\_\_\_\_ <u>Level (circle one)</u> II III <u>Documentation Year (circle one)</u> 1 2 3 Progressive documentation of a teacher's performance and professional development plan is a continuous process by which data are collected and analyzed annually to improve teacher quality. Progressive documentation is a process of ongoing formative evaluation over a three-year period. It includes a running record of authentic information about a teacher's performance in the areas of instruction (Strand A), student learning (Strand B), and professional learning (Strand C). School Year \_\_\_\_\_ Grade/Subject \_\_\_\_\_ School \_\_\_\_\_ Distri District \_\_\_\_\_ PDP Review (Required annually): Evaluator/Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_ Observation of Classroom Practice (Required Annually): Evaluator / Title\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Optional Data for Strand A: Evaluator/Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Optional Data for Strand B: Evaluator/Title: **Optional Data for Strand C:** Evaluator/Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_

3-Tiered Licen.	sure System	Performance	Evaluation	Handbook
Third Edition				

Teacher Signature Date

**Evaluator Signature** 

## New Mexico Teacher Performance Reflection on Annual Professional Development Plan (PDP)

Name of Teacher Grade/Assignment Name of Principal and/or Supervisor	Date Level of License School Campus
Teacher Reflection: Provide a written comment on your PDI learning growth.	P, including a description of student achievement and
Principal Feedback (optional):	
Yes No Professional Development Plan complete Yes No Teacher meets highly qualified requirem	ed ents for teaching assignment
Principal / Supervisor	Teacher

Copies to: Staff Member, Personnel File, Supervisor

Date

Evaluator Signature

Employee Personnel File

Objective: Owner:					
Goal: Competency To Be Addressed	Addressed			Rationale	
Key Actions: What should we do in what order?	Section 1.01 Person Responsible for each action	Section 1.02 Resources Employee Should Access to Accomplish Growth	Timeline	Measure: To assure desired results have been met	Target: Desired Result
REFLECTION ON PDP EXPERIENCE Please submit a one-page reflection of your PDI reflection: What were the results of your projec	XPERIENCE effection of your PD esults of your proje	P experience to your adm	inistrator prio	REFLECTION ON PDP EXPERIENCE Please submit a one-page reflection of your PDP experience to your administrator prior to your annual evaluation meeting. Include in your reflection: What were the results of your project or actions in terms of meeting your objectives? What worked well? What would you do	neeting. Include in your

Employee Signature
Copies: Employee System Performance Evaluation Handbook
Third Edition May 2005

## 71

# Sample Professional Growth Plan

TeacherCurrent Licensure Level	School Year	EvaluatorTitle	
Article II. 1. OBJECTIVE (Clear and Measurable)	2. WHY	3. WHAT I WILL DO (Project or action)	4. HOW I WILL ASSESS

(Evaluation evidence)

4.1 Artifacts

3.1 Necessary resources

2.1 Supporting data, if

1.1 Teacher competencies

addressed

available

3.2 Timeline and who is

1.2 Intended impact on

practice

responsible

# objectives? What would you do differently if you had the opportunity to do the PGP again? Please provide data and artifacts to Please attach a one-page reflection on your PGP learning experience prior to your annual summative evaluation meeting with your school administrator. What worked well? What were the results of your project or actions in terms of meeting your 5. REFLECTION ON PGP EXPERIENCE support your conclusions.

Teacher Date Pr

Principal or designee

Date

3-Tiered Licensure System Performance Evaluation Handbook Third Edition May 2005

## SAMPLE COMPLETED FORM

## New Mexico Teacher Performance Summative Evaluation for Licensure

Level I Teachers – to be completed annually Level II & Level III Teachers – to be completed every 3 years

Name of T	Teacher		Ima Novice			Date	July 26	, 2004	
Grade/Ass	signment		2 <sup>nd</sup> grade			School Campus	Nowhe	re Elem	entary
Name of F	Principal and/or	Supervisor	L. I. Charge			Level of License		l <b>i</b>	
Rating ide		1. Does no	t meet competency for li	censure level	2. Meets	competency for	licensur	e level	
Number			STRAND A. IN	ISTRUCTION:					
1.	The teacher	accurately de	monstrates knowledge of th	e content area an	d approved	d curriculum.			
2.	The teacher	appropriately	utilizes a variety of teaching	methods and res	sources for	each area taught.			1 _2
5.	The teacher	offoctivoly uti	lizac ctudant accoccment to	abaiques and are	andurac				1 _2
3.	Strand A. Insunderstanding benchmarks. differentiated	truction Eviden g of the adopte Instructional r assignments a	lizes student assessment te- ce, including student achiever d curriculum as evidenced by nethodology includes large an- according to student abilities an essment such as the DIBELS.	nent and learning of observations. Less d small group instr nd learning styles.	growth: Ms son plans a uction, inde	ddress district standa pendent and partner	rds and work, and	1	1 <u>2</u>
			STRAND B. ST	IIDENT I FAR	NING.				
3.	The teacher and understa		s with and obtains feedback			that enhances stude	ent learni	_	1 2
4.	The teacher	comprehends	the principles of student gr	owth, developme	nt and lear	ning, and applies th	em		
6.	appropriately		educational setting in a man	nor that promote	s nositive :	student hehavior ar	nd a safe		1 _2
0.		environment.	cudational setting in a man	nei that promote	s positive :	student benavior, ar	u a saic		1 2
7.	involvement Strand B. Stu stated in Stra styles (such a	and self- condent Learning and A, instructions age appropriate developed p	udent diversity and creates a cept. Evidence: Ms. Novice provide nal methodology and lesson p ate hands-on activities). Clas ositive student relationships.	s weekly progress lans demonstrate sroom manageme	reports to s differentiation	tudents and their pare on based on student identified as an area	ents. As earning for growt		1 <u>2</u>
			STRAND C. PROF	FSSIONAL LE	ARNING	; <b>.</b>			
8.	The teacher	demonstrates	a willingness to examine ar						
			·	•		•			1 _2
9.	Strand C. Pro	fessional Lean	tively with colleagues, parer ning Evidence: Ms. Novice is nd trained parent volunteers for	taking course worl	k towards a		dorsemen	t.	1 _2
Yes X Yes X	No	Prof	essional Development Plan co	ompleted		nment			
		Supervisor	annal Fila Cunanian		***************************************	Teach	ner	<b></b>	

Copies to: Staff Member, Personnel File, Supervisor
3-Tiered Licensure System Performance Evaluation Handbook
Third Edition May 2005

## SAMPLE COMPLETED FORM

## Progressive Documentation of Teacher Performance

Teacher <u>C. Mego</u> SSN/Employ	ee ID <u>123</u> -	<u>-45-6789</u>					
Level (circle one) II Documentati	on Year (circl	e one)	1	2	3		
Progressive documentation of a teacher's performa process by which data are collected and analyzed a documentation is a process of ongoing formative expected of authentic information about a teacher's pelearning (Strand B), and professional learning (Strayear.)	nnually to impro valuation over a erformance in the	ve teacher of three-year p e areas of in	uality. eriod. struction	Progress It include on (Strance	sive es a running d A), student		
School Year <u>2004 - 2005</u>	Gra	de/Subject	t <u>7<sup>th</sup> L</u>	anguage	Arts		
School Somewhere Middle School	District <u>H</u>	owdoyoug	et The	ere, NM			
<b>PDP Review (Required annually):</b> Evidence supports that goal has been met. This includes the use of NWEA data to group students and differentiate instruction. Teacher will continue to expand implementation of data for next school year.							
Evaluator / Title <u>Dr. Watching You</u>		April 2					
Observation of Classroom Practice (Require were conducted on the following dates: 9/8/04, 9/30 3/18/05, and 4/5/05.	ed Annually): 0/04, 10/15/04, 1	Observation 1/30/04, 1/2	ns of c 27/05,	lassroom 2/14/05, 2	practice 2/25/05,		
Evaluator / Title <u>Dr. Watching You</u>	Date:	April 2	22, 200	05_			
Optional Data for Strand A: Served as a facilitator for revision/development of District LA curriculum. Integration of guest speakers into classroom research unit for NASA project. Incorporated NWEA assessment data into classroom planning. Developed LA assessments consistent with the District LA curriculum.							
Evaluator / Title <u>Dr. Watching You</u>	Date: Al	oril 22, 200	)5				
Optional Data for Strand B: Students actively participate in the development of a student assignment contracts were developed. Stud abilities. Students work independently and in small observations. Instructional units reflect respect for	ent materials are groups as evide	determined	by inc	lividual p	roiects and		
Evaluator / Title <u>Dr. Watching You</u>	Date:	April 2	2, 200	<u>)5</u>			
Optional Data for Strand C: Led on-site training activities on NWEA Interpretation and Assessment, 4-Block Writing, and Differentiated Instruction. Served as a mentor to a novice, Level I teacher.							
Evaluator / Title <u>Dr. Watching You</u>	Date:	April 2	2, 200	05_			
Teacher Signature Date	Eval	uator Signa	iture		Date		